UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/522,885	02/01/2005	Torben A. Bonde	BONDE3	6718	
1444 7590 12/29/2006 BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			EXAMINER		
624 NINTH ST	•		MANOHARAN, VIRGINIA		
SUITE 300 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20001-5303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	-		1764		
					
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	12/29/2006	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assistant Court	10/522,885	BONDE, TORBEN A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Virginia Manoharan	1764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirn will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. & 133)				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 At</u>	ugust 2005					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,10,11,13-19,25,30,49,50,58,59,68 and 69</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,10,11,13-19,25,30,49,50,58,59,68 and 69</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date						

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the inclusion of legal phraseology often used in patent claims such as "comprising" in lines 6 and 12.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors, e.g., typographical, grammar, idiomatic, syntax and etc. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

The disclosure is objected to because of the numerous recitation of "vapour" in the instant application should be –vapor—as the latter is the term normally used in the U.S.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-7, 10-11, 13-19, 25, 30, 49-50, 58-59 and 68-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

a).Claims 1 and 59 are incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. There are no structural cooperative

Application/Control Number: 10/522,885

Art Unit: 1764

relationships among the elements "pumps, valves and pipes" recited e.g., in claims 1 and 59. [Reciting "means for " language authorized by 35 U.S.C. 112, 6th paragraph to encompass these elements is better and would obviate this rejection].

Page 3

- b). The preambles of claims 18-, 25, 30, 49-59 are at odd with the claim from which they depend, i.e., claim 1, directly and/or indirectly. Claim 18, for example, recites "A mobile unit"; and claim 19 recites "A plant for processing organic material", whereas the independent claim 1 recites "A system comprising a stripper device". [A dependent claim incorporates every features of the claim from which it depends and cannot change nor orient the limitation already recited in the independent claim].
- c). Also most structural limitations, e.g., the fermentor and biogas reactor stripper tank, etc. in claim 19 and the claims dependent therein are already covered in claim 1, claimed twiced?
- d). The inconsistent used of terminology in the claims is improper. For examples: "at least one fermentor and/or at least one biogas reactor in claim 19, as opposed to "a fermentor or biogas" in claim 1, a). Also the "and/or" is broadening the initially recited "or" in claim 1.
- e).Regarding claim 4, the phrases "such as" and "for example" render the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrases are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). See also claims 7 and 69.
- f). The claimed "decanter centrifuge" in claim 58 is directed to two distinct devices.
- g). The term "preferably" in claim 69 fails to ascertain the claimed invention with precision.

Art Unit: 1764

- h). In claim 69, reciting "a first condensing device" without reciting a second or third and etc. condensing device provides for ambiguity. See also the "first condensed liquid medium" in claim 68.
- i). The "and" at the end of every section a)-f) e.g., of claim 1 should be deleted as being superfluous.

Claims 1-7, 10-11, 13-19, 25, 30, 49-50, 58-59 and 68-69 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a). Moore et al discloses a means and method/process for removing volatile components from a liquid.
- b). Mazzafro et al discloses a process wherein a pressure differential between a stripping column and an evaporator is established.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to V. Manoharan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1450.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,885

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

VIRGINIA MANOHAHAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

ARTUNITIES/ Tey

Page 5